

Cases, Notes and Materials on

MMERCIAL AND CONSUMER TRANSACTIONS

VOLUME II

Seventh Edition

edited by

JACOB S. ZIEGEL

Professor of Law University of Toronto

LAW LIBRARY

SEP 14 1977

FACULTY OF LAW UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CASES, NOTES, AND MATERIALS ON

COMMERCIAL AND CONSUMER TRANSACTIONS

edited by

JACOB S. ZIEGEL
Professor of Law
University of Toronto

SEVENTH EDITION

For student use only Not for commercial sale For use in 1977-8 academic year

15 1977 v.2

810CC

Table of Contents

VOLUME 2

VIII.	CONTRACTUAL AND OTHER LIMITATIONS ON THE BUYER'S RIGHTS AND REMEDIES Introductory Note				
		(a)	Recent Judicial Developments		
			Suisse Atlantique v. N.V. Rotterdamschen R.G. McLean Ltd. v. Canadian	557	
			Vickers Ltd., supra	536 565	
			Harbutt's Plasticene v. Wayne Tank Co. Ltd	566	
			Wathes v. Austin	571 572	
		(b)	Legislative Provisions	314	
			Note	581	
	2.		Off Clauses and Promissory Notes: The er-Financer Relationship		
			act from "Consumer Credit in Canada"	582 584	

سواره سدر المرا

	A. The Commercial Position	
	Killoran v. Monticello State Bank Federal Discount Corp. v. St. Pierre Note on Federal Discount Range v. Belvedere Finance Corp Note on Range Industrial Acceptance Corp. v. Richard	584 590 597 598 601 602
	B. Part V, Bills of Exchange Act	
	Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v. Lively	605
	Note on Legislative Reforms in Canada and the U.S.	613
	Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v. Langlois	613a
	Bank of Nova Scotia v. Archo Industries Ltd	616
	Who Needs It?"	617
3.	Practical Restrictions on The Buyer's Ability to Litigate	
	Marsden-Smedley, "Is the Legal System any Use to Consumers?"	618 625
	Consumer Class Actions	
	Newsweek, Excerpt	630 631
	Canada Some Proposals for Reform" Markt & Co. v. Knight Steamship Ltd Note on Class Actions in Canada Farnham v. Fingold	631 633 651 652

		Page No.
	Nakena v. General Motors of Canada Ltd Vasquez v. Superior Court of San	654
	Joaquin County	661
	Procedure (U.S.)	672
	Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin	674
	Eisen III, Extract on Fluid	
	Class Recovery	683
	Newspaper Notice	684
	Canadian Tire Corp. Ltd. v. Desmond	687 689
	BUYER'S CLAIM AGAINST THE MANUFACTURER: THE	
⊥.	Obligations Imposed by Law: Canadian and U.S. Developments	
	OLDO Beauty of Consumer Herman	670
	OLRC Report on Consumer Warranties, Extract	670
	Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc. Extract No.2	700
	Santor v. A & M Karagheusian, Inc	701
	Seely v. White Motor Co	706
	Note on Subsequent Developments	715
2.	The Problem of Horizontal Privity	
	OLRC Report on Consumer Warranties, Extract	718
	Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc.,	700
	Extract No.3	720
	Note on Recent Developments	721
3.	Manufacturer's Express Warranties	
	Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motor's Inc.,	
	Extract No.4	722
	Note	723
	Comparative Table of Auto Warranties, 1971	724
	American Motors 1971 New Car Warranty	726
	The Romero Study	727
	CAMA Documents	729
	Westinghouse Dryer Warranty	. 732
	Tru-Guard Warranty and Correspondence	733
	Magnuson-Moss Warranty - Federal Trade Commission Improvement Act	736
	OCHERT BOTOR TRIPLOYCHELL ACLIVES OF SECTION OF SECTION	/ 50

THE PASSING OF PROPERTY BETWEEN SELLER AND BUYER
s.19, r.1 Jerome v. Clements Motor Sales Ltd s.19, r.4 Consignment or 'Sale or Return',
supra, Ch.IIs.19, r.5 Carlos Federspiel & Co. v. Chas.
Twigg & Co
Caradoc Nurseries v. Marsh
THE TRANSFER (OR RETENTION) OF TITLE AND THE POSITION
OF THIRD PARTIES: EXCEPTIONS TO THE "NEMO DAT" RULE
Introductory Note
1. Seller in Possession
Pacific Motor Auctions Pty. Ltd. v. Motor
Credits (Hire Finance) Ltd
Engineering Co. Ltd
2. Buyer in Possession
Central Newbury Car Auctions v. Unity
Finance Ltd
Notes Traders Finance Corp. v. Dawson Implements Ltd
Century Credit Corp. v. Richard Note on Extra-Provincial Conditional
Sales and Conflict of Laws
Newtons of Wembley Ltd. v. Williams
and J & D's Used Cars Ltd
3. Inventory Financing and the Buyer in Ordinary Course
Traders Group Ltd. v. Gouthro
Note

		Page No.
	4. Towards a More Rationalized Approach	
	Extract, Law Reform Committee (U.K.), 12th Report	816 .
	5. The Bulk Sales Act	[statutory volume]
XII.	AN INTRODUCTION TO THE PERSONAL PROPERTY SECURITY ACT	· -
	Canadian Chattel Security Law: Past Experience and Current Developments Selected Bibliography	819 863 886
XIII.	THE BUYER'S OBLIGATIONS AND THE SELLER'S RIGHTS AND REMEDIES CASH SALES	
	Introductory Note Colley v. Overseas Exporters Ltd. R. V. Ward v. Bignall Charter v. Sullivan Stocklosers v. Johnson Note Law Commission (U.K.), Working Paper on	869 871 878 886 891 903
VIV	Penalty Clauses and Money Paid	903
XIV.	CREDIT TRANSACTIONS: CONSUMER CREDIT 1. General Background	
	Introductory Note	905
	of Selected Holders	907
	W.P. Mors Fortin, "The Social Meaning and	908
	Implications of Consumer Credit"	924
	Ziegel, "The Regulation of Consumer Credit"	930
	Note	940

		Page No.
2.	The Cashless Society - Herein of Credit Cards, Credit Reporting, and Billing Problems	
	Extract, FRS Report on Consumer Credit Note on Canadian Developments Newspaper Clippings	942 944 946
	Legal Problems of Credit Cards:	
	(a) Note	956
	(b) Chargex Agreements	957
	(c) 1970 Amendments to CCPA	959
	(d) Note on Canadian Developments	959
	(e) Fair Credit Billing Act, 1974	960
	(f) Newspaper Clippings	966
	(g) Report Accompanying FCRA	967
	(h) Note on Canadian Developments	972
DTC	HTS AND REMEDIES OF THE CONDITIONAL SELLER	
Α.	General Position	071
	Introductory Note	974
	1. Action for Price	
	Sawyer v. Pringle	975
	Note on Deficiency Claims	980
	Trans-Canada Corp. v. Foubert T. Eaton Co. Canada v. Schick	981 984
	2. Repossessory Rights	
		000
	Financings Ltd. v. Baldock Note on Equipment Leases, Hire-	992
	Purchase and Conditional Sales	999
	R. v. Doucette	1000
	Note on the Right to Repossess	1005

		Page No.
2	Astiss for Defeat of the Deseason is	
3.	Action for Price after Repossession	
	McNutt v. Alexander Fraser Ltd	1006
4.	Acceleration Clauses and Rights of Reinstatement	
	Protector Loan Co. v. Grice	1009
	Note	1013
	Peresluka v. General Motors Acceptance	101/
	Corp. of Canada Ltd	1014 1017
	Note	1017
5.	Deficiency Claims	
	Humphrey Motors Ltd. v. Ells	1017
	Sawyer v. Pringle, supra	975
	General Securities Ltd. v. Lyons	1022
	Note on PPSA Position	1025
	C.A.C. v. Fisher	1026
	Note on PPSA position	1035
	Note on Deficiency Rights	1036
	Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce	1007
	v. Materi	1037
6.	Damage Claims for Lessee's Breach of Contract	<u>ts</u>
	Yeoman Credit Ltd. v. Waragowski	1047
	C.A.C. v. Regent Park Butcher Shop Ltd	1049
	Note	1056
7.	Computation of Deficiency (or Damages) and Rebate Rights	
	Industrial Assentance Conn. Itd. W. Cmith	1057
	Industrial Acceptance Corp. Ltd. V. Smith . Delta Acceptance Corp. v. Redman	1057
	Note	1066
8.	The Buyer's Right to Surplus	
	Chan v. C.C. Motor Sales Ltd	1067
9.	Forfeiture of Instalments	
	Stockloser v. Johnson, supra	891

			Page No.
	10.	Conflict of Laws Problems	
		Note	1071
В.	Rel:	ief for the Overcommitted Debtor	
	Ext	ract, (1973) 36 M.L.R. 479	1072
		Appendices	
Α.	Tra	nsactional Materials	
	1.	Retail Motor Vehicle Purchase Agreement	1078
	Ban	k of Nova Scotia	
	2.	Dealer Agreement	1081
	3.	Dealer Agreement Letter	1084
	4.	Application for Credit, Commercial	1087
	5.	Chattel Mortgage, Commercial	1088
	6.	Promissory Note, Commercial	1091
	7.	Application for Credit, Consumer	1092
	8.	Conditional Sale, Consumer, with Promissory Note	1094
	9.	Chattel Mortgage, Consumer	1098
	Lau	rentide Finance	
	10.	Industrial Conditional Sales Contract	1100
	Ben	eficial Finance Co.	
	11.	Chattel Mortgage for Loan of \$1,500 or Less	1103
	12.	Chattel Mortgage for Loan Over \$1,500	1108
	13.	C.A.C. Leasing Co.: Leasing Agreement	1110
В.	OLR	C Report on Consumer Warranties	
	Sum	mary of Recommendations	1112

VIII. CONTRACTUAL AND OTHER LIMITATIONS ON THE BUYER'S RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

The buyer's position under the SGA is very favourable and if its provisions were mandatory the story would have a simple ending. In fact the real position is much more complex.

(1) In the first place, the SGA provisions are only presumptive and can be freely varied or excluded entirely by agreement between the parties (SGA 53). Needless to say, sellers eely avail themselves of this right and it is a rare agreem which is reduced to writing involving durables which does not compain a disclaimer or restriction of liability clause of some description. For a classification of the clauses, see OLRC Report, p.47. In the retail field such clauses are usually coupled with a manufacturer's express warranty in lieu of the statutory implied warranties and conditions which is less favourable to the buyer. See th.IX.3.

Inevitably, at first the courts and then the legi natures were drawn into the conflict. Section 1 of this chapter reproduces some of the salient materials. In studying them it is important not to view the contest simply in David v. Goliath terms. seller and/or manufacturer has quite legitimate reasons for wishing to restrict his liability and, as is so often the case, the search is for a formula that will try and reconcile the conflicting interests of buyer and seller in the great variety of situations Consumer-buyers faced with a printed likely to arise in practice. form contract are not in the same position as Ontario Hydro negotiating for the construction of a multi-million dollar nuclear generating station and a new car dealer seeking to deny liability for a "lemon" deserves less sympathy than a seller (such as in Kendall v. Lillico or the Ashington Piggeries case) who is faced with a claim for heavy consequential losses because of a latent and not readily discoverable defect not due to the absence of reasonable care on his part. short, disclaimer clauses are intimately tied in with such basic policy questions as strict liability for defective goods, the measure of damages, and allocation of risk between parties who may or may not be in positions of equal bargaining strength.

(2) Section 2 is concerned with another and no less important form of limitation on the buyer's warranty remedies. In case of durables, the consumer frequently finances the purchaser either by

means of a credit sale or by securing a purchase money loan from a bank or other financing agency. Nowadays tripartite credit cards, e.g., Chargex, are also often used for smaller purchases. Suppose the goods are defective, to what extent should the consumer be entitled to refuse payment to the financing agency which has made the purchase money loan or bought the paper from the dealer? As will be seen, the case law and statutory position almost rivals in complexity the position with respect to disclaimer clauses.

The third section raises yet another set of factors which have attracted increasing attention in recent years. suitable are our existing judicial institutions and rules of court for redressing consumer grievances? Is it realistic to expect a consumer to spend numerous hours and perhaps several hundred dollars to vindicate a claim or defend a suit involving less than a hundred dollars? A variety of solutions have been proposed, both institutional and procedural. At the procedural level consumer class actions have provoked the greatest excitement and debate, and no doubt will continue to do so. What has been your own experience in this area? Are you a militant complainer or do you take your lumps quietly? Do you find the businessmen with whom you deal (in the public as well as in the private sector) more or less responsive than they were a few years ago? In your opinion, is the search for a sympathetic ear the essence of modern consumerism?

